

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-499-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SHAZAM ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-500-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

IPHARRO MEDIA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-502-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-526-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

VIGGLE, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-527-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-528-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE ECHO NEST CORP.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-529-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
PEER MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-531-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BIO-KEY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	§	

Defendant.	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. TUNESAT, LLC, Defendant.	§ § § § CASE NO. 6:12-CV-533-LED § § JURY TRIAL DEMANDED § § §
BLUE SPIKE, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. VERCURY, INC., Defendant.	§ § § § CASE NO. 6:12-CV-534-LED § § JURY TRIAL DEMANDED § § §
BLUE SPIKE, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. SOUNDHOUND, INC., Defendant.	§ § § § CASE NO. 6:12-CV-537-LED § § JURY TRIAL DEMANDED § § §
BLUE SPIKE, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. VOBILE, INC., Defendant.	§ § § § CASE NO. 6:12-CV-539-LED § § JURY TRIAL DEMANDED § § §

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ATTRIBUTOR CORP.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-540-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MIRANDA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-544-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

YAHOO! INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-556-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CIVOLUTION USA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-557-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

§
§

Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-558-LED
GOOGLE, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-564-LED
ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-565-LED
UMAMI CO.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-567-LED
IRDETO USA, INC., et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-568-LED

vs.	§	
ZEITERA, LLC, et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-570-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
WIOFFER, LLC,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-572-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TECHNICOLOR USA, INC., et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-576-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
AUDIBLE MAGIC CORP., et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-576-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ROVI CORP. et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-580-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
YOUWEB, LLC, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-5581-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SMRTV, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-582-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
ACTV8, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-586-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BROADCAST MUSIC, INC., et al.,	§	

Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-587-LED
THE NIELSEN COMPANY (US), LLC,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-594-LED
CBX INTERACTIVE, INC. et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-595-LED
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING, INC.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-598-LED
SOUNDMOUSE LTD.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.		
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-607-LED
SECUGEN CORP.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-608-LED
ZKTECO, INC., et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-610-LED
FULCRUM BIOMETRICS, LLC, et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-616-LED
FUJITSU AMERICA, INC., et al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GREEN BIT, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-645-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TVTAK USA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-646-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INNOVATRICS S.R.O, et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-647-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BIOLINK SOLUTIONS LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-648-LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,

§
§

Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-649-LED
CROSS MATCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et	§	
al.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-650-LED
DIGI-KEY CORP.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-651-LED
GRIAULE TECHNOLOGY, LLC,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-652-LED
INTEGRATED BIOMETRICS, LLC,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
	§	
	§	

Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-680-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
L-1 IDENTITY SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-681-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
LUMIDIGM, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-682-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BMAT LICENSING, S.L.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-684-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TV INTERACTIVE SYSTEMS, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-685-LED

vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
3M COGENT, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-686-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
ANTHEUS TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-687-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
AWARE, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-688-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
IMAGEWARE SYSTEMS, INC.,	§	
Defendant.	§	
BLUE SPIKE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CASE NO. 6:12-CV-690-LED
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NEC CORPORATION OF AMERICA, et al.,
Defendants.

§
§

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,
Plaintiff,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:12-CV-694-LED

vs.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PRECISE BIOMETRICS, INC. et al.,
Defendants.

**DEFENDANT VERCURY, INC.'S
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1, Defendant Vercury, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation and that no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

Dated: October 25, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ian N. Feinberg

Margaret Elizabeth Day (CA Bar No. 177125)

Admitted to Practice

Email: eday@feinday.com

Ian N. Feinberg (CA Bar No. 88324)

Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*

Email: ifeinberg@feinday.com

FEINBERG DAY ALBERTI & THOMPSON LLP

401 Florence Street, Suite 200

Palo Alto, CA 94301

Telephone: (650) 618.4360

Facsimile: (650) 618.4368

Attorneys for Defendant
VERCURY, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this documents was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by U.S. mail or facsimile transmission, on this 25th day of October, 2012.

/s/ Ian N. Feinberg
Ian N. Feinberg